Using Technology to Effect Change

Leading the Way

Should we be leading technology, or should technology be leading us?

Using Technology to Effect Change

Leading the Way

Using Technology to Affect Change

Leading the Way

From "Rogue Leader" to the U.S. Health Care System ...

"Well, I guess technology is a good thing, if it lets you play the games you want to play."

> Jonathan Reed Age 10

The second best book on health care ...

"Good to Great" By Jim Collins

The actual study ...

How do "great" organizations think differently about technology?

Interviews with leaders at "great" organizations ...

- 80% did not even mention technology as one of the top five factors.
- Technology by itself was never a primary cause of either greatness or decline.
- However, many were leaders in applying new technology.

As an organization ...

- What do you care passionately about?
- At what can you be the best in the world?
- What drives your economic engine?

Does the new technology support this mission?

 No? – The "great" organizations refused to let technology lead them.

 Yes? – The "great" organizations became pioneers (fanatical and creative) in the application of that technology.

Core processes that support the Clinical Center's mission and vision ...

- Excellence in clinical research support
- Quality of patient care
- Cost effectiveness/efficiency

Where does IS fit?

Some lessons learned ...

An outsider's view from 20 years ago ...

- Fragmented
- No information system base
- Lack of insight

Lack of insight ...

Decision Support (n.) from the Latin, who cares how you put the damn data in if you can't get anything out ?!?

Coordinated Care Management

"...the goal is an uninterrupted journey through the bewildering array of doctors, nurses, other health professionals, laboratories, imaging units, hospital care, nursing home care and home health care that characterizes today's complex medical care."

Coddington, Moore, and Fischer Integrated Health Care

The three elements of Coordinated Care Management

- Individual care pathways at the operating unit level
- Seamless transfers
- An "overall" management function

In 1997, KPMG performed a cost analysis among hospital systems considered to be leaders in the use of pathways. While a few of the hospitals reported decreases in ALOS and cost, the majority of them reported just the opposite.

Year 2000 – DRG 127: CHF

Samaritan Hospital

	1st Qtr.	2 nd Qtr.	3 rd Qtr.	4 th Qtr.
ALOS	5.1	5.4	4.7	4.4
Direct Cost/Case	\$2,530	\$2,181	\$2,000	\$2,019
Readmission	5%	16%	10%	17%
Dothway Compliance	· 0 100/			

Pathway Compliance: 0-10%

Albany Memorial Hospital

ALOS	6.0	6.1	5.5	5.5
Direct Cost/Case	\$2,428	\$2,471	\$2,431	\$2,266
Readmission	8%	16%	10%	7%
~ 11	40 /			

Pathway Compliance: 40-75%

Decision Support ...

- What do you care passionately about?
- At what can you be the best in the world?
- What drives your economic engine?

Does the new technology support this mission?

 No? – The "great" organizations refused to let technology lead them.

 Yes? – The "great" organizations became pioneers (fanatical and creative) in the application of that technology.

Direct Cost Variation (CHF)

138

Total

<u>Physicia</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>ALOS</u>	Avg Direct <u>Cost</u>	% Direct Cost Above/ (Below)	Avg Indirect <u>Cost</u>	Avg Gain/ (Loss)
Doctor #	1	23	4.57	2,187	11.6%	1,700	(61)
Doctor #	2	11	3.27	1,471	-24.9%	1,111	1,244
Doctor#	3	5	2.00	1,167	-40.5%	875	1,784
Doctor #	4	4	6.00	2,260	15.3%	1,975	(409)
Doctor #	5	4	5.50	1,863	-4.9%	1,707	256
Doctor #	6	4	9.00	3,646	86.0%	3,258	(3,078)
Doctor #	7	4	3.00	1,365	-30.4%	1,092	1,369
Doctor#	8	4	2.75	1,269	-35.3%	987	1,570
Doctor #	9	3	5.33	1,742	-11.1%	1,676	408

1,960

Resource Consumption by Physician (CHF)

			Direct	Avg Direct Cost	
LAB-CHEMISTRY	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Activity</u>	Cost F	er Case	
Doctor #1	15	46	355	24	
Doctor #2	7	20	141	20	
Doctor #3	4	19	147	37	
Doctor #4	4	11	83	21	
Doctor #5	3	16	125	42	
DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING					
Doctor #1	23	42	758	33	
Doctor #2	10	15	322	32	
Doctor #3	5	8	115	23	
Doctor #4	4	7	146	37	
Doctor #5	4	11	224	56	
ULTRASOUND					
Doctor #1	4	5	182	46	
Doctor #2	2	2	73	37	
Doctor #3	2	2	63	32	
Doctor #4	1	1	49	49	
Doctor #5	1	1	34	34	

Day of Admission Analysis DRG Code 209 MAJOR JOINT & LIMB REATTACHMENT Princpal Proc 8151 TOTAL HIP REPLACEMENT

Admission Source	<u>Cases</u>	ALOS	Avg Direct <u>Cost</u>	% Above/ (Below) <u>Avg</u>
Physician Referral				
Mon	5	3.20	7,204	2.8%
Tue	2	6.00	8,898	27.0%
Wed	8	4.00	6,655	-5.0%
Thu	1	4.00	5,023	-28.3%
Total: Physician Referral	16	4.00	7.005	

Decision Support ...

- What do you care passionately about?
- At what can you be the best in the world?
- What drives your economic engine?

Core processes that support the Clinical Center's mission and vision ...

- Excellence in clinical research support
- Quality of patient care
- Cost effectiveness/efficiency

"Quality" ... the three buckets...

- Safety
- Clinical Outcomes
- Patient Satisfaction

Institute of Medicine

- "These stunningly high rates of medical errors - resulting in deaths (44,000-98,000 annually), permanent disability, and unnecessary suffering - are simply unacceptable in a medical system that promises first to 'do no harm.' Our recommendations are intended to encourage the health care system to take the actions necessary to improve safety."
 - William Richardson, Committee Chair

The Corporate perspective

<u>Sigma</u>	Defects per Million
1	690,000
2	308,000
3	66,800
(99% OK)	10,000
4	6,210
5	230
6	3

The Corporate Perspective

Airline deaths ⇒

- Airline baggage ⇒
 handling
- ER missed ⇒
 diagnosis of Acute
 Myocardial
 Infarction*

- > 6 Sigma = .000043% =
 0.43 deaths per million
 passenger boardings
- ~ 4 Sigma = 0.6 % = ~
 6,000 bags per million
- 2 4 Sigma = 2% 8% = 20,000 - 80,000 missed diagnoses per million AMIs

Suzanne Delbanco Executive Director of Leapfrog

- CPOE reduces serious prescribing errors by more than 50%
- Volume thresholds reduce mortality by more than 30%
- Intensivists can reduce ICU deaths by more than 10%

Computerized Physician Order Entry

- Error reduction
- Pathway compliance
- Medication alternatives
- Duplicate testing

Core processes that support the Clinical Center's mission and vision ...

- Excellence in clinical research support
- Quality of patient care
- Cost effectiveness/efficiency

How do "great" organizations think differently about technology?

Does the new technology support this mission?

 No? – The "great" organizations refused to let technology lead them.

 Yes? – The "great" organizations became pioneers (fanatical and creative) in the application of that technology.

Core processes that support the Clinical Center's mission and vision ...

- Excellence in clinical research support
- Quality of patient care
- Cost effectiveness/efficiency

The final challenge ...

Using Technology to Effect Change

Leading the Way
Reedj@nehealth.com